

Higher Music Education and Quality Assurance: almost 20 years of work

- 2002-2004: Project AEC NASM (US) on Music Study and Accountability
- 2006-2007: First review criteria, procedures and test visits by AEC
- 2007-2014 ERASMUS TN Project 'Polifonia' of AEC: criteria and procedures fine-tuned, standards formulated and visits realised
- 2011-2013: AEC Quality Enhancement Committee
- 7 October 2014: MusiQuE Music Quality Enhancement established as an independent legal entity
- June 2016: registration MusiQuE on European Quality Assurance Register EQAR, registration prolonged in November 2020

3

Stakeholders approach Association Européenne des Conservatoires, Académies de Musique et Musikhochschulen MUSICSCHOOLUNION.EU Europaan Association for Music in Schools MUSICALINI MU

Why MusiQuE? (1)

Subject-specific dimension

- Proposing subject-specific, self-controlled solutions to develop a quality culture in the sector
- Taking into account the characteristics of higher music education



5

Why MusiQuE? (2)

The international dimension

- Internationally recognised standards linking to international educational and professional environment – stakeholders approach
- International procedures cross border QA
- International peers:
 - Access to a wider pool of specialists
 - Increased objectivity



How to strengthen subject-specific quality assurance?



7

Speaking the same language as staff and students

Concept of Quality

Combining '(musical) standards' and '(educational) quality'

Music sector has been strong on musical/artistic standards 'Educational quality' more recent: organisation of the curriculum, student feedback, facilities, assessment rules, etc.

=> Crucial for our type of institutions to bring both together



Offering tailor-made services

- Quality enhancement reviews
 - Critical Friend Reviews focused on programmes and departments (contentdriven form of external quality review)
- Accreditation procedures
- Joint procedures with national QA agencies

- Evaluations of research activities
- Benchmarking projects
- Consultative visits
- Quality Assurance Desk



9

Design tailor-made sets of standards

- Standards for Institutional Review
- Standards for Programme Review
- Standards for Joint Programme Review
- Standards for Classroom Music Teacher Education Programmes
- Framework for the Evaluation of Research Activities undertaken by Higher Music Education
 Institutions
- Standards for Pre-College Music Education



MusiQuE Standards

8 Domains of enquiry

- 1) Mission and vision /Programme goals and context
- 2) Educational processes
- 3) Student profiles (admission to, progress through and completion of the programme)
- 4) Teaching staff
- 5) Facilities, resources and support
- 6) Organisation and decision-making processes
- 7) Internal quality culture
- 8) Public interaction



11

Looking for new review models

Looking for new external QA methodologies that will resonate with our students and teachers taking into account:

- 1. Formal/informal
- 2. Internal/external
- 3. Qualitative/quantitative
- 4. Speak the language of our students and teachers

→ Critical Friend Reviews



Looking for new review models: the Critical Friends Review

- Visit 'Critical Friends' at department level (2 per department within 6-year period)
- Reports 'Critical Friends' + institutional responses form (part of) self-evaluation report
- Prepares and informs ('light weight') 'classic review' every 6
 years



13

Benefits...

- Personal contact to students and teachers
- Takes both aspects of the concept of quality into account
- Speaks the language of students and teachers
- Strongly focused quality enhancement because of qualified recommendations
- QA workload evenly divided



Contributing to the EHEA

- Taking responsibility for the realisation of the Bologna process goals on cross-border QA
- Establishing a shared Quality Culture within an academic discipline
- \circ From technocratic approaches to Quality Assurance \to a discourse on the content of our education
- Looking for creative approaches to QA



15

Strengthening subject-specific QA

- Advocating against the obstacles to cross-border mobility (even in countries where EQAR agencies can operate: financial and legal barriers)
- Increasing our influence on the European scene:
 - ENQA, EQAR
 - European Universities Alliances?
- Reinforcing the link between our standards and our learning outcomes?



